## HEALTH AND WELL BEING BOARD 01/03/2016 at 2.00 pm



Present: Councillor Stretton (Chair)

Councillors Ahmad, Harrison and Wrigglesworth

Dr Zuber Ahmed Oldham CCG

Peter Denton Healthwatch Oldham

Caroline Drysdale Pennine Care NHS Foundation Trust

Denis Gizzi Oldham NHS Clinical Group

Sandra Good Pennine Acute

Cath Green FCHO

Majid Hussain Lay Chair Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)

Dr Keith Jeffery Oldham CCG

Maggie Kufeldt Assistant Executive Director Joint Commissioning

Stuart Lockwood Oldham Community Leisure

Dr Ian Wilkinson Oldham CCG

Also in Attendance:

Oliver Collins Principal Policy Officer
Sian Walter-Browne Constitutional Services
Liz Windsor-Welsh Voluntary Action Oldham

#### 1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Chauhan, Councillor Blyth, Jill Beaumont (Director of Community Services), Mark Warren (Director of Adult Social Care) and Alan Higgins (Director of Public Health).

## 2 URGENT BUSINESS

There were no items of urgent business received.

## 3 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest received.

## 4 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

The following public question was received from Mr James Allen:-

"With cuts in finance from Central Government, which will affect certain sections within this council ie (Social Care), also the increase of 3.9% on Council tax, I would like to ask:

- How will the cuts affect the services in Social Care within OMBC
- 2) How much of the increase in the Council Tax would go towards the shortfall which the Government cuts has on this service
- 3) Will this be announced within the budget proposals on the full Council meeting (24/2/16)
- 4) What is the A.G.M.As on devolution position on these cuts, also will these cuts be on the agenda on the 16/3/16

5) Will the relevant bodies be able to have a say on the outcomes.



As I am a member of the Doctors P.P.S I am concerned about the Patients within the Practice, who it may concern".

Maggie Kufeldt, Executive Director Health and Wellbeing, responded as follows:-

- 1) The Council had improved efficiency, was working closely with partner organisations and making best use of available resources. There was no suggestions of any identified needs being unmet, and every cut was monitored and had an Equality Impact Assessment.
- 2) £1.5 million was raised through the precept. The full cost of the implementation of the Living wage requirements would be £2.7 million and the amount raised would go towards this. Oldham has a very low Council Tax base, and a 2% rise would still bring in very little compared to areas of the country with more-expensive housing.
- 3) This had been announced in the budget proposals and there had been a significant debate on this at the Council meeting.
- 4) All the AGMA authorities had raised their Council Tax by 2%. This matter was not on the agenda for the meeting on 16<sup>th</sup> March, as it would be focussing on Locality Plan Programmes. It could come up in the discussion or questions.
- 5) The Locality Plan involved all the stakeholders at the health and Wellbeing Board and wider events had been held to engage with a wider audience.

## 5 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

**RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> January 2016 be approved as a correct record.

## 6 ACTION LOG JANUARY 2016

**RESOLVED** that the Action Log be noted.

## 7 **MEETING OVERVIEW**

**RESOLVED** that the Meeting Overview be noted.

# 8 GREATER MANCHESTER FIRE & RESCUE COMMUNITY RISK INTERVENTION UPDATE & WINTER WARMTH

The Board gave consideration to a report which updated them with information concerning the Greater Manchester Fire and Rescue Services (GMFRS) Community Risk Intervention, Safe and Well visits, and the Winter Warmth Project.

It was reported that the Community Risk Intervention included responding to cardiac incidents on a daily basis. The Safe and Well visits were ongoing and the Winter Warmth Project was due to end on 31<sup>st</sup> March. There had been a slow take-up of the Winter Warmth initiative and the Board discussed the assistance District Co-Ordinators and Community Development Workers could offer in sharing information.



## **RESOLVED** that

- The Health & Wellbeing Board would cascade the information outlining GMFRS' offer throughout the Board member organisations and services.
- Health & Wellbeing Board member organisations would take up the offer from GMFRS to visit staff/service teams to deliver a presentation and to increase awareness of the programme across Oldham.
- GMFRS would be provided with contact information for District Co-Ordinators and Community Development Workers

## 9 NORTH EAST SECTOR REVIEW

The Board gave consideration to a report which provided an update on the progress of the review and set out the terms of reference for the Oversight Group. Partners would be looking to achieve a coherent and sustainable plan across the North-East sector. Oldham would need to be clear about what it was seeking from the review, what it was prepared to change and what was not negotiable.

It was noted the review would be considering options for the optimum configuration delivery of health and care services within and across the four districts, including organisational arrangements for acute services, as well as undertaking specific tasks.

The Board discussed how the review might impact on the Locality Plan and how to maintain the focus on delivering the Locality Plan. The Board were informed that Healthwatch could assist in engagement with the public.

It was noted that further reports on the progress of the review would be brought to the Board in due course.

**RESOLVED** that the report be noted.

## 10 GM HEALTH & SOCIAL CARE DEVOLUTION AND THE OLDHAM LOCALITY PLAN

The Board received a report on this standing item that provided an update on the latest developments on the devolution agenda at both a GM and an Oldham level. The Board discussed in depth the following three specific areas:-

- Oldham Council
- GM Health & Social Care Devolution: Strategic Partnership Board
- 2. GM Transformation Fund
- 3. Oldham Locality Plan Transformation Programme Updates from Programme Leads
- 1. The Board were updated on the latest meeting of the Strategic Partnership Board. It was understood that there were areas where commissioning would need to be at GM level and not Borough level. There had been a discussion about the workforce implications of this. The presentation from the second session of the meeting would be shared with the Board as it showed clearly how much progress had been made in just a year.

The Board discussed where the decisions as to what was undertaken at what level would be made. It was acknowledged that, while some areas would be obvious, others would be the subject of debate.

2. The Board considered the briefing papers in relation to the GM Transformation Fund. It was stated that the Fund would look for a high rate of return on its investments. The Board discussed how best to ensure Oldham received its fair share, and considered taking their time to structure well-argued bids and bidding on no more than 2-3 areas would best ensure success. It was understood that it would be unlikely that any fund would be released if the bid was not clearly supported by the locality and in accordance with the Locality Plan.

The Board agreed that all Oldham bids would go through the Board before being submitted, to ensure the Borough spoke with one voice. This would also ensure bids were co-ordinated and avoid duplication or gaps.

3. The Board received a draft spreadsheet setting out the key proposals and leads on them, together with a brief outline of the implementation plan for each. There would be a cluster meeting on 9<sup>th</sup> March to consider the programmes and how best to get key measures in place.

The Board noted there had been significant progress and asked that each proposal in the plan had an outcome set against it to identify what it achieved for the people of Oldham. There was a need to ensure connections between the areas were not missed and the Programme Management Office would be involved in developing a robust system.

**RESOLVED** that the updates be noted.

## 11 INTEGRATED COMMISSIONING PARTNERSHIP UPDATE

The Board received an update on the meeting of the Integrated Commissioning Partnership on 12<sup>th</sup> February 2016. It was noted that the Better Care Fund Plan was due to be in place by the end of April. The Conflict of Interest Policy and Register were due to be refreshed.



A full report on Children's Complex Care would be brought to the next meeting and the Board noted there had been significant progress with the adult early adopter integrated team.

The Partnership would next meet on 11<sup>th</sup> March in Wigan.

**RESOLVED** that the update be noted.

## 12 JOINT STRATEGIC NEEDS ASSESSMENT SUB-GROUP

The Board received an update with regards to the JSNA Sub-Group. As the requirements of the Sub-Group had evolved, proposals were put forward to amend its meeting schedule and purpose.

**RESOLVED** that the proposals to change the meeting schedule and the purpose of the JSNA Sub-Group be APPROVED.

The meeting started at 2.00 pm and ended at 3.45 pm